

PHIL 103: Logic and Reasoning QR II

Practice #1

1. Translate the following argument into our formal language and then use truth tables to determine whether the argument is valid or invalid.

Either Talan took an afternoon nap or he is grumpy now (or both).
Talan is grumpy now.

Talan did not take an afternoon nap.

2. Translate the following argument into our formal language and then use truth tables to determine whether the argument is valid or invalid.

If Candace studies, then she will earn an A on her exam.
If Candace does not study, then she will earn an A on her exam.

Candace will earn an A on her exam.

3. Use truth tables to show that the rule of conjunction introduction is valid. You may use ordinary sentence letters instead of φ and ψ .

4. Use truth tables to show that the rule of disjunction introduction is valid. You may use ordinary sentence letters instead of φ and ψ .

5. Use truth tables to show that the rule of negation introduction is valid. You may use ordinary sentence letters instead of φ and ψ .

6. Show the following: $\{ (\sim P \rightarrow Q), (P \rightarrow (R \wedge S)), (Q \rightarrow (R \wedge S)) \} \vdash R$.

7. Show the following: $\{ \sim P, \sim Q, ((\sim P \wedge \sim Q) \rightarrow (\sim R \rightarrow S)) \} \vdash (S \vee R)$

8. Show the following: $\{ Q, ((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow P) \} \vdash P$.

9. Without using arrow elimination (\rightarrow E), show the following: $\{ P, (P \rightarrow R), (Q \rightarrow R) \} \vdash R$.

10. Translate the following argument and then give a formal proof of its conclusion from its premisses.

Michael drinks only if he is allowed to drink.
Sally drinks only if Michael drinks.
Michael is not allowed to drink.

Sally doesn't drink.